WISCONSIN PHARMACY QUALITY COLLABORATIVE an initiative of the pharmacy society of wisconsin

I.



Return on Investment Evaluation of Cost-Effectiveness Interventions Within a Quality-Based Medication Therapy Management Pilot Program

Ł

Melissa Evans¹, David Mott, PhD, RPh¹, Patrick Cory, PharmD², Paul Baum, MBA, RPh³, Kari Trapskin, PharmD⁴, Sarah Sorum, PharmD⁴, Mohammed Mahbouba, MD⁵, Hua Zhu, PhD⁵ ¹University of Wisconsin-Madison ²Unity Health Insurance ³Pharmacy Society of Wisconsin

⁴Group Health Cooperative of South Central Wisconsin ⁵McKesson Pharmaceutical

. •

Background	Methods	Res	Results			
The Wisconsin Pharmacy Quality Collaborative (WPQC)	Level I Cost Effectiveness Interventions	ROI Results for WPQC Interventions	ROI Analysis extrapolated over 12 months			
the Pharmacy Society of Wisconsin and the University of Wisconsin Sonderegger Research Center	Formulary Interchange Therapeutic Interchange Tablet Splitting Opportunity (from payor	Drug Cost Savings for a Single Fill Cost of intervention includes analyzed interventions only Payor 1: ROI 0.22	Included Costs	Payor 1 ROI	Payor 2 ROI	
 Dedicated to the creation of a quality-based medication therapy management services demonstration project Aligns incentives for both pharmacists and payors 	identified lists) Conversion to an OTC Product Dose Consolidation	Payor 2: ROI 3.25 Drug Cost Savings over 12 months Cost of interpretion includes applying interpretions only	Level I Cost Effectiveness Interventions only	10:1	43:1	
The WPQC pilot is supported, in part, by a Signature Grant from the Community Pharmacy Foundation	Cost savings calculated for Cost Effectiveness Interventions only. While other types of interventions provide value, the exact savings is difficult to	Payor 1: ROI 10.43 · Payor 2: ROI 42.77	All Level Interventions	5:1	12:1	
The Pharmacy Society of Wisconsin (PSW) Sole Wisconsin state pharmacy professional association representing members comprised of pharmacists, pharmacy 	measure.	Cost of intervention includes all interventions (Level I & II) Payor 1: ROI 2.52 Payor 2: ROI 9.83	All Level I & II Interventions	2.5:1	10:1	
technicians and pharmacy students	ROI Calculation	Payor 2: ROI 9.83	Patient Savings	(Payor 1)		
Current Pilot Participants Unity Health Insurance, 42,000 covered lives Group Health Cooperative of South Central Wisconsin, 16,400 	ROI = Drug Cost Savings - Cost of Intervention Cost of Intervention • Drug Cost Savings - Original Drug Cost - New Drug Cost • Intervention cost is determined by each payor and individual pharmacy Assumptions • Quantity dispensed calculated using prescription instructions field data • Chronic/Acute designation assigned based on common use • 6 & 12 month savings includes one-time fill of payor and savings	Drug Cost Savings Total Drug Cost Savings (based on single fill) Payor 1: \$5719 Payor 2: \$8790 Total Drug Cost Savings (6 months*) Payor 1: \$26,943 Payor 2: \$45,830 *Based on a single fill for acute medications and 6 fills for chronic medications Total Drug Cost Savings (12 months*) Payor 1: \$53,513 Payor 2: \$90,612	 Patients saved a total of \$3775 on 156 interventions (based on weighted average copays) Patients saved an average of \$25.34 per prescription 			
covered lives 53 community (independent, chain and health-system) pharmacies 			Challenges			
Internet-based Billing/Documentation System McKesson MTM application (RelayHealth) 			Data provided was incomplete and did not include quantity or accurate drug costs. This required manual data input and may prohibit a simple analysis when more data is available in the future. For many interventions, the total value is difficult to measure and is often not realized for many years. Multiple accurations			
Return on Investment (ROI) Performance measure used to evaluate an investment This analysis measured the effectiveness of the third party payors' investment in WPQC 						
Level I Interventions	 Nasal steroids: 6 months savings includes 3 fills 12 months savings includes 6 fills 	*Based on a single fill for soute medications and 12 fills for chronic medications	 Mutuple assumptions, including dispensed and number of fills, de accuracy of the analysis 	actual quantity creased the ov	y verali	
workflow Recommendations are communicated to the prescriber and are billed after approval is received	Payor 1 Actual drug cost to payor after rebates Consume a weighted average for each tight 	By the Numbers March 2008 – August 2009	 Different methods were used by each payor to determine drug costs and copays. This resulted in a different level of analysis for each payor. 			
Level II Interventions	Pavor 2	609 Total Interventions	Conclusi	one		
Comprehensive medication review and assessment	Average dispensing fees: Brand = \$2.00,	76 Level II Interventions	Conclusi	0115		
Objective	Generic = \$2.25 • Average copays: Brand = \$20.00, Generic = \$5.00 • Brand drug cost = (AWP-15%) + 2.00 - 20.00	248 Cost Effectiveness Interventions 225 Cost Effectiveness Interventions Analyzed 23 Cost Effectiveness Interventions Insufficient Data	Cost Effectiveness Intervention resulted in a positive ROI for third Total savings resulting from cost	s within WPQC party payors st-effectiveness	C have	
The objective of this analysis is to evaluate the return on investment (ROI) for two third party payors of service claims paid to pharmacies during the pilot phase of WPQC.	Generic drug cost = MAC (supplied by payor) + 2.25 - 5.00 Rebates not included	225 Cost Effectiveness Interventions Analyzed 156 Payor 1 Cost Effectiveness Interventions 69 Payor 2 Cost Effectiveness Interventions	interventions alone have resulted when including the cost of all Lev interventions (when calculating sa months)	in a positive R el I and Level I avings over 6 o	CULEVEN pr 12	